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Abstract

A deterministic simulation model for the calculation of sojourn time statistics is proposed. The model is designed for urban cells taking into account city movement in street pattern, traffic lights and crossings. Sojourn time and remaining sojourn time are assumed to be random variables that follow a gamma distribution. The parameters of gamma are found for a range of the chosen cell parameters (road length, probability of stopping at a crossing and cell radius) using Monte Carlo simulation. Then, the values are fitted to the empirical model using genetic algorithms with grammatical evolution. The model can be used to calculate sojourn time statistical parameters for any urban circular cell with square street pattern.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Sojourn time (ST) is defined as the time spent by a vehicle user inside a cell while using a communication channel. It is originally defined for a handover call, in which the vehicle starts its journey at the edge of the cell. For a new call, where the vehicle starts from a random position inside the cell, the channel holding time is called remaining sojourn time (RST). These two random variables, obviously depend on characteristics of the cell area. In case of an urban cell, the important characteristics are the road pattern, the traffic lights, the crossings and the city traffic.

Sojourn time statistics have been investigated in the past due to their usability in teletraffic analysis and cell planning. In [1], it is shown that sojourn time affects the handover ratio and therefore it is an important characteristic of a cellular network regarding the resource management. In [1] also, a simple
analytical model is presented, where movement in a straight line is considered. The results in [1] show an exponential-like behavior. In [2], a more realistic approach is chosen where the vehicles move in a series of vectors and perform random stops in between. In this case, the result is a statistical model that describes a specific cell environment. An extension to [2] is found in [3], where the same approach is enhanced with more complex stochastic models to better describe velocity, street length and probabilities of turning. An important addition of [3] is that average step velocity is measured and the data are fit in a weighted sum of Rice and Gauss distribution. An analytical approach of the statistical model of [2] and [3] is found in [4]. Other previous works, relative to the present, can be found in [5], [6] and [7].

However, applying all the above models in a real-life cell, remains challenging since all of them demand extra effort for each new cell scenario. That is because they fit measurements or simulations of specific cells to their models but they do not provide any means of predicting the behavior of ST and RST in an arbitrary cell. Moreover, measurements of ST and RST are particularly time consuming and tedious. Channel holding time measurements are easier to obtain from a mobile operator as in [8], but in this case ST and RST information is mixed and the cell is assumed to be operating (information not available in design state). In this paper, we propose an empirical model that can be directly applied to any city cell with respect to some assumptions for ST and RST prediction.

The proposed model is a statistical model which can be seen as an extension of [9]. ST and RST are assumed to follow a gamma distribution as in [2], [3], [4] and [9]. However, this model connects a set of cell parameters with the gamma distribution parameters $a$ and $b$. A road map can be used to extract the cell parameters for any cell that fulfills certain requirements, and then use them as inputs to the model. A measurements case study carried through in a real cell, shows a satisfactory model performance.

Apart from the empirical formulas for computing ST and RST statistics, this paper provides some novel ideas on this topic. The use of fixed velocity distribution is proposed, implying that the driver behavior is always the same in urban environments. Issues related to traffic are explained further. In comparison to [9], the cell radius parameter is added to provide solution to any cell size ranging from $100m$ to $1km$. In addition, the derivation of turning probability is elaborated to incorporate normal driver behavior. The fitting approach is also improved, and combined with the above improvements, yields better approximation.

The mobility model is described in section II and the empirical formulas are given in section III. A real cell study is found in section IV where the model is compared to measurements and finally, the paper is concluded in section V.
II. THE PROPOSED DETERMINISTIC SIMULATION MODEL

In this section, the proposed model is described. The design process is analyzed and the necessary assumptions are explained.

A. Model design

The model design procedure is described in figure 1. An intuitive set of three cell parameters is chosen. These three parameters are the ones used to characterize an arbitrary cell and they constitute the domain of the empirical functions produced by the model. For all possible values of these parameters, a Monte Carlo simulation is performed and the results are fitted to the gamma distribution. The gamma parameters $a$ and $b$ are then extracted with a median regression method. After the simulation loop, $a^{ST}$, $a^{RST}$, $b^{ST}$ and $b^{RST}$ data are available and they are used as inputs to a graph fitting procedure by means of a genetic algorithm with grammatical evolution. The final model is an expression of $a$ and $b$ in terms of the chosen parameters. Then the distribution of ST and RST is obtained as

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{b^a \Gamma(a)} x^{a-1} e^{-x/b}.$$  

The chosen cell parameters are the probability of traffic $p_{tr}$, the normalized average block area $A_b$, and the cell radius $R$. The first parameter, $p_{tr}$, is the probability that a vehicle user stops for a pause time in an arbitrary crossing. For a set of crossings $X$ with $N^X$ elements which $N^X_{tr}$ of them have traffic lights, we write

$$p^X_{tr} = \frac{N^X_{tr} + 0.5 \left(N^X - N^X_{tr}\right)}{N^X}.$$  

(1)

The vehicle user faces three possibilities, making an average pause time at a traffic light crossing, making a pause time at a non traffic light crossing coming from the minor road and making no pause time when coming from a major road. Every traffic light crossing is associated with an average delay and half of the priority crossings are associated with a deterministic delay. By using all the crossings in a cell ($X = C$), $p_{tr} = p^C_{tr}$ describes a cell where the movement is uniformly distributed among all roads. Another approach, is to use the set $M$ that contains all the crossings of major roads that sustain the most important traffic load. This corresponds to transitional traffic in the cell. Assuming that the probability that an arbitrary user is in transitional state ($P \text{ (in transit)}$) is known
\[ p_{tr} = P \text{ (in transit)} p_{tr}^M + (1 - P \text{ (in transit)}) p_{tr}^C \]

The normalized average area of block, \( A_b \), can be used to extract the length of the roads. In case of square blocks

\[ d = \sqrt{A_b + rw} \approx \sqrt{A_b}, \] (2)

where \( d \) is the edge and \( rw \) is the road width. The value of \( A_b \), in case of non-identical blocks, is computed by averaging which results in a possible error. The greater the square diversity, the greater the error is expected.

The third parameter is simply the cell radius \( R \). However, this parameter is necessary for the application of the model in an arbitrary cell. The inclusion of this parameter is an extension to [9]. Average values for \( N^X \), \( N_{tr}^X \), \( rw \) and \( R \) can be found in a road map.

Figure 1. Logical diagram of the model design procedure.
B. Deterministic laws of mobility

The random walk on a lattice used in the model is showcased in figure 2. The position vector $\vec{r}$ for step $i$ will be

$$\vec{r}_i = \vec{r}_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{i} \vec{m}_k, \quad (3)$$

where, $\vec{r}_0$ is the starting position, and $|\vec{m}_k|$ is the distance that a vehicle makes in step $k$. $|\vec{m}_k|$ is fixed and equal to $d$ in the context of square pattern. In every crossing the vehicle makes a turn $\varphi_i = \arccos \left( \frac{|\vec{m}_{i-1}\cdot\vec{m}_i|}{|\vec{m}_{i-1}||\vec{m}_i|} \right)$ which is a discrete random variable with $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}, -\frac{\pi}{2}]$ possible values. The vehicle exits the cell of radius $R$ for the first time at the $n^{th}$ step when $|\vec{r}_i| > R$ is true for $i = n$ but not true for all $i < n$. Assuming $u_i$ to be a positive Gaussian random variable that describes the average velocity of the vehicle in every step, the sojourn time for a random walk on a lattice exiting the cell of radius $R$ with $n$ steps will be

$$st = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{|\vec{m}_i|}{u_i} + \tau \cdot tr_i \right) + \lambda \cdot \left( \frac{|\vec{m}_n|}{u_n} + \tau \cdot tr_n \right), \quad (4)$$

where $tr_i$ is a binomial random variable with $p = p_{tr}$, $\tau$ is an average delay in a traffic light or a stop sign and $\lambda$ is the percentage of the last step which is inside the cell area. Using the law of cosines on the triangle, defined by $\vec{r}_n$ and the intersection of the circle and $\vec{m}_n$, we can calculate $\lambda$ from

$$|\vec{m}_n|^2 \lambda^2 + (|\vec{r}_n|^2 - |\vec{r}_{n-1}|^2 - |\vec{m}_n|^2) \lambda = R^2 - |\vec{r}_n|^2, \quad (5)$$

The equation (5) has only one positive solution because $(|\vec{r}_n|^2 - |\vec{r}_{n-1}|^2 - |\vec{m}_n|^2) > 0$. The above analysis is used in the simulation model and it corresponds to ST for $|\vec{r}_0| = R$ and RST for $|\vec{r}_0| < R$. 
C. Assumptions

1) Velocity: Measurements in [3] have shown that average velocity in cities can by approximated by a weighted Gaussian and Rician distribution. In [9], however, it is proposed that this behavior is the result of the distortion of a Gaussian velocity caused by the pause times. This means that, modelling the velocity as Gaussian and including probabilistic pause times at crossings produces a more realistic and intuitive model that can fit the measurements of [3].

In this paper, average velocity in every step is modelled as positive Gaussian with fixed mean and deviation ($\bar{u}_i = 40\,km/h$, $\sigma_{u_i} = 10\,km/h$). This implies that velocity is not a main characteristic of sojourn time in urban cells as opposed to other previous models referring to an arbitrary cell. The vehicle velocity in urban environment depends on the following factors,

- the velocity at which the driver would like to move,
- the city regulations,
- the crossings and the traffic lights,
- the traffic.

Assuming that the driver behavior and the regulations are qualitatively the same in every city, the average velocity depends mostly on the cell shape and the expected traffic. The effect of traffic jams is not considered in this paper and therefore, velocity is considered a positive Gaussian random variable with fixed parameters which is distorted by the delays in the crossings. This means that the model is
valid for all city cells without extreme traffic. In case of extreme traffic, sojourn times are expected to be comparable to call duration times and the number of handovers is expected to be very small.

2) Crossing Delay: The crossing delay is $\tau$ with probability $p_{tr}$ and 0 with probability $1 - p_{tr}$ as described by the binomial random variable $tr_i$. The value $\tau = 10\text{ sec}$ is typically used as an average waiting time in a traffic light or a stop sign. Delay is 0 in case of a priority road crossing. It is expected that summing up many crossings with traffic lights and/or stop signs, the sum of waiting time divided by the number of such crossings converges to the mean waiting time per crossing.

3) Turning in the crossings: The formulation of turns has an important effect on the realism of the model. In bibliography ([3] and [9]), it is proposed that the probable cases listed in descending order are: 0, $-\frac{\pi}{2}$ (right) and $\frac{\pi}{2}$ (left). Making a $\pi$ turn is considered highly improbable in a city environment. Moreover, conditional probabilities of turning are used to make the model more realistic. Turning left or right becomes more improbable after every turn in the same direction. This makes sure that a driver with a chosen destination is simulated much more times than one that roams around the cell in random directions. If conditional probabilities are neglected, the resulting model yields larger values on the average, like in [9]. The values used in our model are motivated by simulations and [3]: $p_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} = 0.135$, $p_{0}^{-\frac{\pi}{2}} = 0.165$, $p_{0} = 1 - p_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{k} - p_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{l}$ and $p_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{k} = 0.5p_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{k-1}$, $p_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{l} = 0.5p_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{l-1}$, where $k$ and $l$ count the $\frac{\pi}{2}$ and $-\frac{\pi}{2}$ turns respectively.

D. Complexity vs Accuracy

Summarizing the previous subsections, the proposed model assumes vehicle movement in a square pattern urban cell with non-rush hour traffic. Moreover, it assumes that an arbitrary driver behaves in a standard way with respect to velocity and probability of turning in a crossing. Finally, the waiting time in a crossing is modeled the same in case of a traffic light (as an average) and in case of a non priority road (with a stop sign). It is expected that the accuracy of the model can be compromised when applied in a real life cell with many irregularities. The main advantage of the model is the simplicity with which it can be used. It should be used in the same way that radio propagation models for signal strength predictions are used.

E. Genetic Algorithm with Grammatical Evolution

The results of the Monte Carlo simulations are fitted to a function using a genetic algorithm with grammatical evolution. Grammatical Evolution is an evolutionary process that can create programs in an arbitrary language, [10]. The production is performed using a mapping process governed by a grammar
expressed in Backus Naur Form. The problem of data fitting can be formulated as: Given \( N \) points and associated values \((x_i, y_i), \ i \in [1, N]\), with \( x_i \in \mathbb{R}^n \), estimate a function \( f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) that minimizes the least squares error.

\[
E_T = \sum_{i=1}^{M} (f(x_i) - y_i)^2.
\]

The specific method takes as input the points \((x_i, y_i)\) and creates a functional form that minimizes the quantity in equation (6) through the procedure of Grammatical Evolution.

III. RESULTS

The results are presented in figures 3-6 for \( R = 100 \) and \( R = 250 \). Rate parameter \( b \) has monotonous behavior while the scale parameter \( a \) is fluctuating in some cases. This fluctuation is justified in cases the actual distribution of sojourn time is changing shape. In such transition phase, the shape parameter is expected to be unstable.

From the figures it is observed that greater street lengths \( A, b \), smaller probability \( p_{tr} \) and cell radius \( R \) yield smaller \( b \) and consequently smaller average sojourn times, which supports common reasoning.

The functions \( a^{ST}, a^{RST}, b^{ST}, b^{RST} \) are produced by using the data from the deterministic simulation model described in section II and applying the method of fitting described in subsection II.E, [10]. For the chosen range of parameters values, there is a clear dependence of \( a \) and \( b \), on each of the parameters. This shows small information redundancy and supports the choice of parameters.
Figure 3. The function $a^{ST}(p_{tr}, A_b, R)$ for $R = 100$ and $R = 250$.
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Figure 4. The function $b^{ST}(p_{tr}, A_b, R)$ for $R = 100$ and $R = 250$.
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Figure 5. The function $a^{RST}(p_{tr}, A_b, R)$ for $R = 100$ and $R = 250$.
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Figure 6. The function $b^{RST}(p_{tr}, A_b, R)$ for $R = 100$ and $R = 250$.

$$a^{ST}(p_{tr}, A_b, R) = 2.49 \cdot 2.37 \sin \left( \log \frac{A_b^{0.682707}}{R} \right) + \log \left( \log \frac{6.99}{\cos p_{tr} + \sqrt{p_{tr}}} \right),$$

(7)

$$b^{ST}(p_{tr}, A_b, R) = \frac{R}{\sqrt{\frac{3A_b}{R_{p_{tr}}} + \frac{1.41772}{p_{tr}} + \cos (2.36p_{tr})}},$$

(8)

$$a^{RST}(p_{tr}, A_b, R) = \log \left( \sqrt{\log \left[ \frac{R^2 \log R}{433.77} - \frac{A_b^2 \log p_{tr}}{7814} + R \right]} \right),$$

(9)

$$b^{RST}(p_{tr}, A_b, R) = 3.68638 \cdot \frac{R \sqrt{p_{tr}}}{A_b^{0.31}} - p_{tr} (\log A_b + 1),$$

(10)

where log is the natural logarithm. The mean least square error of fitting was found to be: $e(a^{ST}) = 0.0315$, $e(b^{ST}) = 0.0019$, $e(a^{RST}) = 0.4244$ and $e(b^{RST}) = 0.3794$. 2000 generations were used in the fit process. The products $a^{ST} \cdot b^{ST}$ and $a^{RST} \cdot b^{RST}$ yield the expectation of ST and RST respectively, while it is possible to calculate variance by $a^{ST} \cdot [b^{ST}]^2$ and $a^{RST} \cdot [b^{RST}]^2$.

IV. CASE STUDY IN A REAL CELL

A case study is presented for the cell of figure 7. Using the road map, the values $A_b = 9070m^2 (95m \times 95m)$ and $R = 300m$ are extracted. For $p_{tr}$, a traffic assumption is made. The 80% of the vehicles
are assumed to use the major roads (yellow on the map) only and the rest are assumed to move randomly in the cell. Therefore, $p_{tr} = 0.8 \cdot p_{tr}^M + 0.2 \cdot p_{tr}^C$, where $p_{tr}^M = 0.571$ and $p_{tr}^C = 0.7$ using (1). This yields the value $p_{tr} = 0.597$.

Using equations (7)-(10), $a^{ST} = 3.88094$, $b^{ST} = 24.5184$, $a^{RST} = 1.08972$ and $b^{RST} = 44.6443$, are calculated. These results are compared to measurements taken in the same area in non rush hour. The measurements density is estimated using Epanechnichov kernel-based estimator with half the smoothing optimal bandwidth ($h_{os}/2$), see [11]. In figure 8, it is evident that the model conformance to measurements is improved, in comparison to [9]. This is due to the use of conditional probabilities of turning, the use of $R$ parameter and better fitting method.

Figure 7. The cell in the city of Patras under test. Measurements took place in this cell.
Figure 8. Comparison of the proposed model and measurements for the cell of figure 7. The values used for the model are: \( a^{ST} = 3.88094 \), \( b^{ST} = 24.5184 \), \( a^{RST} = 1.08972 \) and \( b^{RST} = 44.6443 \).

V. CONCLUSION

A deterministic simulation model is proposed. The model can be used along with a road map in order to provide sojourn time and remaining sojourn time statistics for an arbitrary city cell with low vehicle traffic. The calculation of the parameter \( p_{tr} \) is described in detail. The model has been tested in a real cell, and the results show a satisfactory measurement conformance. This model can be used for cell planning and handover ratio estimation in any urban circular cell that has a rectangular block shape.
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